United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			*	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,957	12/20/2000	Phil Delurgio	DEM1P004	7258
36088 7: KANG LIM	590 03/20/200	EXAM	INER	
3494 CAMINO TASSAJARA ROAD #436			COLBERT, ELLA	
DANVILLE, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	03/20/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/741,957	DELURGIO ET AL		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ella Colbert	3694		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
	• •		0) 00 71 110 717 (00) 0 4 7 7		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nuary 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment	t(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 09/741,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 12, and 13 have been amended and claims 15-20 have been newly added in this communication filed 01/03/07 entered as Amendment submitted with filing of RCE and Request for Extension of Time.

The IDS filed 11/28/05 has been considered and entered.

- 2. The amendment to the Specification has overcome the Objection to the Specification and is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The Amendment to claims 1 and 3 has overcome the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection to claims 1 and 3 and is hereby withdrawn.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/03/07 has been entered.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, the third claim limitation recites "generating imputed variables, using the computer system, wherein said imputed variables are generated by imputing at least one missing data point when the at least one data point is missing;". This claim limitation is redundant. The claim limitation would be better recited as "generating imputed"

Application/Control Number: 09/741,957 Page 3

Art Unit: 3694

variables, using the computer system, wherein said imputed variables are generated by imputing at least one missing data point;". It is understood that "the data point is missing". Claim 3 has a similar problem. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites "cleaning the sales data" and claim 3 recites "cleansing the sales data". It is unclear what Applicants' mean by "cleaning the sales data" and "cleansing the sales data". Do Applicants' mean in claim 3 for claim 3 to recite "cleaning the sales data" in order to be in agreement with claim 1? Applicants' are respectfully requested to point out in the specification where "cleaning the sales data" and "cleansing the sales data" are defined.

Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 10, 13, 17, and 19 recite "store" which is very vague and indefinite. It is unclear from the claim language whether Applicants' mean "a place to store data" or a "merchandise store" which deals with inventory and product sales.

Claims 1, 3, and 18-20 contain a clause of intended use in the independent claims which renders the claims indefinite. Specifically, claim 1 after the second claim limitation recites "wherein said imputed variables are generated by imputing at least one missing data point ...".

The Examiner considers these limitations to only recite what is expected to happen, a desired result, or an intended use. The MPEP discusses a type of limitation in reference to "wherein" clauses. MPEP § 2111.04 states:

Claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed, or by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure. However, examples of claim language, although not exhaustive, that may raise a question as to the limiting effect of the language in a claim are:

- (A) "adapted to" or "adapted for" clauses;
- (B) "wherein" clauses; and
- (C) "whereby" clauses.

Claims 3 and 18-20 have a similar problem.

Claims 2 and 4-20 are also rejected because they depend from a rejected base claim.

Conclusion

8. Applicants' have not responded to the request regarding the source(s) where the formulas in the Specification and the newly added claims are found and the Applicants' have not produced any documents relating to the formulas or presented evidence that the formulas are the Applicants' own formulas. Applicants' are respectfully requested to submit any evidence regarding the formulas in the Applicants' Specification and claims in an effort to advance prosecution of the application.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,957

Art Unit: 3694

Inquiries

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 18, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER